

REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **24 June 2005**, the Examiner reviewed claims 1-30. Claims 1, 3-4, 8-11, 13-14, 18-21, 23-24, and 28-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Webb et al (USPub 2004/0100421, hereinafter "Webb"). Claims 2, 12, and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Webb in view of Chiu et al. (USPN 6,366,705, hereinafter "Chiu"). Claims 5-7, 15-17, and 25-27 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) and 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Independent claims 1, 11, and 21 were rejected as being anticipated by Webb.


Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 11, and 21 to include allowable limitations from dependent claims 5, 15, and 25, respectively. Dependent claims 5, 15, and 25 have been canceled without prejudice. Dependent claims 6-7, 16-17, and 26-27 have been amended to correct antecedent basis.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 11, and 21 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 2-4 and 6-10, which depend upon claim 1, claims 12-14 and 16-20, which depend upon claim 11, and claims 22-24 and 26-30, which depend upon claim 21, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Edward J. Grundler
Registration No. 47,615

Date: 25 July 2005

Edward J. Grundler
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
2820 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95616-7759
Tel: (530) 759-1663
FAX: (530) 759-1665